From: [redacted]
To: Ministerial Correspondence
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk
Subject: Objection to an urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A
Date: 21 July 2026 19:33:59

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a local resident to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester.

On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025. You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is very controversial – and widely opposed locally. It is very important that local people and our local representatives in the councils, health board, police and other services are given sufficient information and time to make an intelligent response.

It is clearly unreasonable for this to be considered "urgent". The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation. Can I therefore urge you to withdraw consent for this to be treated as an urgent application and instead insist that the usual planning process is followed in order that local voices can be heard about a proposal that will affect us far more than any Secretary of State in London.

Bicester and its surrounding area has been a dumping ground for government projects, including HS2 which has badly affected the whole of the Bicester/surrounding area. We have had to put up with huge waste incinerators popping up, the massive influx of new housing estates that are unsupported by new schools, and medical centres to name a few. Our town centre is ready a ghost town with little/no financial support from both governments and local councils to return it to the vibrant town it once was, this also is a result of permitting out of town shopping arenas from being built.

I have been to towns and cities that already house asylum seekers, and the affect of such is totally destructive, menacing, thus making them unsafe for women , children, and businesses as a result of third world behaviours.

Surely you know this, surely you know that the monies spent on such should be redirected to preventing asylum seekers from even reaching our shores.

If this centre receives the go ahead, then you all should be thoroughly ashamed of yourselves. The government represents our British way of life and therefore should be protecting it, not ripping the very heart out of what was once Great Britain.

We recently relocated to Cornwall as a result of the troubles Bicester (our home town for 60 yrs) already suffers and the sheer lack of police resources. How on earth do you expect to protect the people of Bicester and its surrounding when they are not being properly protected even now?

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

